

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Initially, Applicant wishes to thank Examiner Gee for conducting an interview with Applicant's undersigned representative, Brett J. Schlameus, on January 29, 2009.

During the interview, Applicant's representative and Examiner Gee discussed the present application with respect to the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 102(e), 103(a), and 112, second paragraph. In particular, Applicant's representative presented arguments describing why the claims, as amended as proposed during the interview, should not be rejected under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101 and 112, second paragraph. Further, Applicant's representative presented arguments as to why "Applicant's Admitted Prior Art" (Office Action, page 7) is not prior art with respect to the present application.

Applicant's representative understood Examiner Gee to tentatively agree that the claims, as amended as proposed during the interview, recite statutory subject and are definite under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101 and 112, second paragraph, respectively. As a result, Applicant understood Examiner Gee to tentatively agree to withdraw the foregoing claim rejections. Further, Applicant's representative understood Examiner Gee to agree to reconsider whether "Applicant's Admitted Prior Art" cited in the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102(e) and 103(a) is prior art with respect to the present application. Accordingly, Applicant hereby amends the claims in the manner discussed during the interview. Claims 1-14, 16-29, 31-38, 41, and 42 are believed to be allowable for at least the reasons discussed during the interview.